IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 11099 of 1994

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.S.GARG

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

UMASHANKER S YADAV
Versus
GENERAL MANAGER

==========

Appearance :
MR RD RAVAL for Petitioner(s) : 1,
M/S TRIVEDI & GUPTA for Respondent(s) : 1,
MR HARSHADRAY A DAVE for Respondent(s) : 1,

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.S.GARG

Date : 21/06/2007

ORAL JUDGMENT

Mr R D Raval for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Panchal learned counsel for respondent No.1.

Shri Panchal submits that the respondent is ready and willing to settle the entire dispute by making a payment of Rs.3,00,000/- within a period of eight weeks from today.

Shri Raval learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the offer made by the respondent Company, he may be allowed to withdraw the writ application. His further submission is that if the payment is made within a period of eight weeks, that would be the end of the matter, but if the payment is not made within the period aforesaid, liberty be reserved in his favour to revive this proceedings.

The petition is allowed to be withdrawn with a direction to the respondent to make payment of Rs.3,00,000/- [Rupees three lakhs only] within eight weeks from today and liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioner to make an application to revive these proceedings in case the payment is not made within eight weeks.

Letter dated 21st June 2007 sent by M/s. Jyothi Limited to their counsel, Trivedi & Gupta Advocates is taken on record.

Rule is discharged. No order as to costs.

[R.S.GARG, J.]

mathew